Amendment and Response Serial No.: 08/670,119

Date Filed: June 25, 1996

Page 2

## Rejection of Claims 18, 20-37 and 60-65 Under 35 U.S.C. §112, First Paragraph

Claims 18, 20-37 and 60-65 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, on the grounds that these claims contain subject matter which is not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to practice the invention. Applicants again respectfully traverse these rejections.

As in the previous Office Action and Applicants' previous Response, there are six general points at issue, and Applicants wish to respond point by point:

1. The Office Action objects that "the claims recite no 'specific' receptor to be inhibited, nor do they recite structurally defined components to practice the instant invention, in which each G-protein receptor dysfunction characterizes its own unique disease state . . . Therefore, the claims fail to specify how the skilled artisan knows when they have successfully practiced the instant invention, without requiring undue experimentation to discover how to make and use Applicants' invention."

First, Applicants note that claims 25-27 (D1 dopamine receptor), 30-31 (β1-adrenergic receptor), and 33-34 (α1A-adrenergic receptor), as well as the claims which are dependent on these claims, do in fact recite a "specific receptor" to be inhibited and do, in fact, recite "structurally defined components" in the form of amino acid sequences. Furthermore, claims 28, 32, and 35 further limit these claims by reciting the specific disorders which are treated. Applicants respectfully submit that it is well known in the art how to synthesize and administer specific polypeptides, as well as how to determine when these receptors are being inhibited, or these disorders are being beneficially treated. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that, at least with respect to these claims, the subject matter is fully enabled and the rejection should be withdrawn.

Moreover, as embodied in these claims, the specification discloses examples of methods relating to a multiplicity of different integral membrane proteins (e.g., G protein coupled receptors such as dopamine receptors, adrenergic receptors, etc.), and a multiplicity of different

Page 3

antagonist peptides (e.g., the peptides recited in claims 25-27, 30-31, and 33-34), which can be used to treat a multiplicity of different disorders for which administration of an antagonist is indicated (e.g., the disorders recited in claims 28, 32, and 35). Each of these examples, however, relates to more general methods which are enabled by the specification. Therefore, in light of the disclosure of these multiple examples or species, Applicants respectfully submit that the specification enables the broader claims, reciting for example the genus of integral membrane proteins (e.g., claim 18) or the genus of G-protein coupled receptors (e.g., claim 22), or reciting that the antagonist peptide consists essentially of at least four consecutive amino acid residues from at least one transmembrane domain of the integral membrane protein (or a conservative substitution variant thereof), or reciting generally that the disorder is one for which administration of an antagonist of the integral membrane protein is indicated. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, based on these considerations should be withdrawn.

2. The Office Action objects, with respect to claims 18, 21-23, 29-30, and 33, that the "specification is clearly deficient in providing sufficient guidance for knowing how to effect measurable phenotype as it relates to generic adrenergic receptors, as currently claimed, without requiring undue experimentation." Again, Applicants must respectfully disagree. The methods of the invention are to used to treat disorders for which administration of an integral membrane protein is indicated. Such disorders are known in the art, and include those which are specifically disclosed in the specification. For each such disorder, the integral membrane protein must, by definition, be known in order for administration of an antagonist to be indicated. For each such integral membrane protein, the transmembrane domains will either be known, or may be easily determined without undue experimentation. For adrenergic receptors, there are methods, known in the art, for measuring inhibition of receptor activity (i.e., effecting measurable phenotype) either directly or indirectly. Thus, with respect to any given adrenergic receptor, and in light of the teachings of the specification, Applicants respectfully submit that one of ordinary skill in the art is enabled to choose an antagonist peptide consisting essentially of at

Page 4

least four consecutive amino acid residues from a transmembrane domain of that adrenergic receptor (or a conservative substitution variant thereof), and to administer that peptide to treat a disorder for which administration of an antagonist of that adrenergic receptor is indicated. Moreover, the specification provides several examples of the practice of such methods. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, based on these considerations should be withdrawn.

3. The Office Action objects that the claims do not "recite what structurally constitutes 'an antagonist...', nor what disorder or symptom is to be treated, each with their own unique etiology, nor when the skilled artisan knows when, where or what 'is indicated', because no such recitation is claimed so that the skilled artisan knows when they are in possession of the necessary components to practice the instant invention; thereby, requiring undue experimentation." Applicants are uncertain as to the meaning of this passage.

The term "antagonist" describes a molecule with a particular functional characteristic: It inhibits, opposes, or impedes the activity or functioning of some reference molecule. Thus, for example, an adrenergic receptor antagonist inhibits the activity of an adrenergic receptor. The structure of antagonists undoubtedly determines their ability to function as antagonists, but the term "antagonist" is to be understood functionally. Therefore, it is not clear why the Office Action objects that the claims do not "recite structurally what constitutes 'an antagonist'." With respect to the practice of the methods of the invention, it may be practiced whenever administration of an antagonist, understood functionally, is indicated. With respect to the antagonist peptides of the invention, they are indeed defined structurally, as consisting essentially of at least four consecutive amino acid residues from a transmembrane domain of the relevant integral membrane protein (or a conservative substitution variant thereof).

With respect to what disorders or symptoms are to be treated, and what "is indicated", Applicants note that the term "indication," and the phrase "is indicated," have special meanings in the medical arts. For example, an "indication" is defined as the "basis for initiation of a treatment for a disease or of a diagnostic test [which] may be furnished by a knowledge of the

Amendment and Response

Serial No.: 08/670,119 Date Filed: June 25, 1996

Page 5

cause ..., by the symptoms present ..., or by the nature of the disease ..." (Stedman's Medical Dictionary, 26th Edition, Williams Wilkins, Baltimore, MD, 1995). Thus, administration of an antagonist of an integral membrane protein is indicated whenever there is basis for initiating treatment with such an antagonist. Such indications are well known in the art. Merely as an example, the entry for the β-adrenergic blocking agent (i.e., antagonist) COREG® in the Physician's Desk Reference, 53rd Edition, Medical Economics Company, Inc., Montvale, NJ, 1999 (copy attached), states under the heading "INDICATIONS AND USAGE" and subheading "Hypertension" that "Coreg (carvediol) is also indicated for the management of essential hypertension." The Physician's Desk Reference and the medical literature are teeming with such examples.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that one of ordinary skill in the art will clearly know when administration of an antagonist of any given integral membrane protein is indicated, and will, in light of Applicants disclosure, be enabled to administer an antagonist peptide consisting essentially of at least four consecutive amino acid residues from a transmembrane domain of that protein (or a conservative substitution variant thereof). Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, based on these considerations should be withdrawn.

4. The Office Action objects that "nowhere in the claims is there any recitation to indicate when such administration [of an antagonist of an EGF receptor to treat a neoplastic growth] 'is not indicated'; thereby encompassing all neoplastic growths . . . [that] the claims do not recite using any specific peptide to specifically 'inhibit growth' of any tumor" and that undue experimentation would be required to practice the invention. As noted above, the medical literature is full of examples of indications for administering antagonists of various integral membrane proteins, including EGF receptors. With respect to reciting specific peptides, the claims recite that the peptide consists essentially of at least four consecutive amino acid residues from a transmembrane domain of the relevant integral membrane protein (or a conservative

Page 6

substitution variant thereof). With respect to the comments relating to neoplastic growths and the inhibition of tumor growth, Applicants note again that the methods may be practiced to treat neoplastic growths for which administration of an EGF receptor antagonist is indicated, and that one of ordinary skill in the art can identify such indications without undue experimentation. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, based on these considerations should be withdrawn.

- 5. The Office Action objects that "the specification still provides contradictory evidence [relating to GABA receptors] on how to determine how and when to successfully practice the invention, without requiring undue experimentation [and that] the claims do not recite using any specific peptide to specifically 'inhibit GABA receptors' that effects any measurable phenotype." Again, the claimed invention is to be practiced whenever administration of an integral membrane protein antagonist is indicated, and such indications, including indications for the administration of GABA receptor agonists, are known in the art. Further, as before, the specific antagonist peptides are those which consist essentially of at least four consecutive amino acid residues from a transmembrane domain of the relevant integral membrane protein (or a conservative substitution variant thereof). Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, based on these considerations should be withdrawn.
- 6. The Office Action objects that claims "the claims still fail to recite using any specific peptide to specifically 'inhibit dopamine and/or monoamine transporters' that effect any measurable cell type, disease state, or measurable phenotype" and therefore are not enabled. Again, Applicants point out that the specific peptides to inhibit any such transporter consist essentially of at least four consecutive amino acid residues from a transmembrane domain of the relevant transporter (or a conservative substitution variant thereof), and that methods of measuring the inhibition of such transporters are well known in the art. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, based on these considerations should be withdrawn.

Page 7

## Rejection of Claims 18-20, 27, and 36 Under 35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph

Claims 18, 20-37 and 60-65 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as indefinite for reciting the phrases "administration of an antagonist . . . is indicated" and "consisting essentially of at least four consecutive residues".

The meaning of the phrase "is indicated" has been discussed extensively above. Applicants respectfully submit that the phrase is not indefinite and that its wide usage in the medical literature is indicative of the fact that one of ordinary skill in the art would understand its meaning. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, based on these considerations should be withdrawn.

With respect to the phrase "consisting essentially of at least four consecutive amino acid residues," Applicants draw the Examiner's attention to, for example, U.S. Pat. No. 5,298,599 (claim 5), U.S. Pat. No. 5,229,491 (claim 1), U.S. Pat. No. 5,229,286 (claim 1), U.S. Pat. No. 5,319,071 (claim 2), and U.S. Pat. No. 5,494,672 (claim 1). Each of these patents has claims drawn to peptides "consisting essentially of" some reference amino acid sequence, and provides evidence that this term has acquired a definite meaning within U.S. patent practice as it relates to claims involving polypeptides. In the present case, the reference sequence is any sequence of at least four consecutive amino acids from a transmembrane domain of the relevant integral membrane protein (or a conservative substitution variant thereof). A peptide which consists of fewer than four amino acid residues could not meet this definition. However, a peptide which consists in essence of four consecutive residues from such a transmembrane domain, but which includes additional residues at the N- and/or C-terminus which do not alter the essential function of the peptide in the context of the invention, would fall within the scope of the definition. Thus, in light of the accepted use of such claim language, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, based on these considerations should be withdrawn.

Page 8

## **SUMMARY**

Claims 18, 20-37 and 60-65 are pending in the application and remain under consideration. No amendments to the claims are made herein. A petition for a two-month extension of time for response, up to and including September 28, 1999, and an authorization to charge the necessary fee to Deposit Account No. 20-0531, are transmitted herewith. Applicants believe that no other fees are due at this time. In the event that additional fees are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge such fees to said account.

Applicants request that the Examiner reconsider the application in light of the foregoing remarks. If, in the Examiner's opinion, a telephonic interview would expedite the favorable prosecution of the present application, the undersigned attorney would welcome the opportunity to discuss any outstanding issues, and to work with the Examiner toward placing the application in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 28, 1999

Reg. No. 38,349

Michael J. Twomey

Attorney for Applicants

Testa, Hurwitz & Thibeault, LLP

High Street Tower 125 High Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02110

395MJT7434/2.A864024\_1

EDITION

which wear of the 20th century, FDR is eggs in largest edition every reflecting o The recemed progress in the field o enters in once. At the same time, we are pl

the introduction of a unique and much

ore patients are experimenting with natural ाने व हेर्केट । हेर्देकेंग्रेग १ इस्टिनी हा

aven't aiready encountered a copy, you abould "also take a look at the new PDR Companion Guide M,

ments PDR with a

all pharmaceuticals

g with a chosen med-

which the now Silve tor H and mers **W**被 Silveration: to su n an Miles Fee Unite

ក្នុកនូវវប

rece lists the drugs ry crack with a given dietary item.

was est among these largely unreg waste genumely beneficial agents ten are a variety of untests genuine value.

Iff is index pincoints the pharmaceuticals ad with each of 3,600 distinct adverse

don incax presents the full range of thera

the me should be taken seriously an now, there had heen y to tom to for 1500 **推到平向thor**t r 60 bota cais,

mi Trest tine ed-1,000 spi

medications rouicially approved—for treatnever of isorders.

st all drugs to avoid in the ns inclea

Medical Consultant

開放物能的位置 a tot

Hence of some 15,000 foreign medications.

िया कि भारता जातहर्य है। इस हिए के सम्माद onald Arky, MD, Charles S. Davidson Professor of Medicine and Master, Francis Weld Peabody Society, Harvard Medical School This and such guis of a projection is dive are disconvalidate ice President of Directory Services: Stephen B. Greenberg

Director of Product Management: David P. Reiss

Senior Product Manager: Mark A. Friedman sociate Product Manager: Bill Shaughnessy

Director of Sales: Dikran N. Barsamian 3135 102 101 Horties National Sales Manager: Anthony Sorce, 30 37, 30,300 10 37 etional Account Manager: Don Bruccoleri (account Managers: Doll Bluccuers of the German allong Gray, RPh Chorry's the Dal Matthews agency,

awrence C. Keary - eugmented with exhaustive viterature The Problem of Appropriate Constitute for the Schmidt

Acone M. Silverberg als. These reports represent the second accordance to the second accordance as a second accord National Sales Manager, Trade Group: Bill Gaffney

Director of Direct Marketing: Michael Bennett Direct Marketing Manager: Lorraine M. Loening

romotion Manager: Donna R. Lynn

Director, Professional Support Services: Mukesh Mehta, RPh.

Senior Drug information Specialist: Thomas Fleming, (RPhorylew of Drug Information Specialist: Maria Deutsch, MS, RPh. CDE aperitic Editor, Special Projects: David W. Sifton on indications.

Vice President of Production: David A. Pitler

Director of Print Purchasing: Marjorle As Duffy it drugs described Director of Operations: Carrie Williams in Drugs, and PLR For Manager of Production: Kimberly H. Vivas ar you'll find it makes Senior Production Coordinators: Amy B. Brooks, Dawn McCall than Production Coordinator: Mary Ellen R. Breun

PDR Data Manager: Jeffrey D. Schaefer

Senior Format Editor: Gregory J.; Westley panion, Guide and PDR Index Editors: Johanna M. Mazur, Robert N. Woerner of POR's

Art Associate: Joan K. Akerling Coolings Senior Digital Imaging Coordinator: Shawn W. Cahilli

Digital Imaging Coordinator: Frank J. McElroy, III

Electronic Publishing Designer: Robert K. Grossman

Fulfillment Managers: Stephanie DeNardi, Kenneth Siebert

Copyright © 1999 and published by Medical Economics Company, Inc. at Montvale, NJ 07645-1742. All rights reserved None of the content of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, resold, redistributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical photocoping) or otherwise) without the prior written permission of the publisher. "PHYSICIANS" DESK REFERENCE." PDRF PDR For Nonprescription Drugs ectronic, mechanical, photocopying, record PDR For inology. Pocket PDR\*, and The PDR\* Family Guide to Prescription Drugs® are registered trademarks used herein under license. PDR Companion Guide of the PDR\* PDR\* Medical Dictionary of PDR\* Nurse's Handbook of PDR\* Nurse's Dictionary of The PDR\* Family Guide Encyclopedia of Medical PDR\* Electronic Library of and PDR\* Drug Interactions, Side Effects; Indications, Contratinifications System of Trademarks used herein under license.

of Medical Economics Company: President and Chief Executive Officer: Curtis B. Allen: Vice President, New Media: V. Suzanne BeDeli: Vice President, Comparate President, New Media: V. Suzanne BeDeli: Vice President, Comparate President, Planta, Vice President and Chief Information Officer: Steven M. Bressier: Senior Vice President, Finance, and Chief Finance Officer: Thomas pardt; Vice President, Directory Services: Stephen B. Greenberg; Vice President, New Business Planning: Linda G. Hope; Executive Vice President, Healthcare Publishing Communications: Thomas J. Kelly; Executive Vice President, Magazine Publishing: Lee A. Maniscalco; Vice President, Group Publisher, Terrence W. Meacock; Vice it, Production: David A. Pitler; Vice President, Group Publisher: Thomas C. Pizor; Vice President, Magazine Business Management: Eric Schlett; Senior Vice President, fillions, John R. Ware

ited on recycled paper

SAN ISBN: 1-56363-288-8

Dosagez More than I days therapy is sel-The served to Marting approximation to

Not to Not to The Property States of The Prop lot recommended attacked to safetta believe

#16 H12/Pinger of 2 I to 54 5 mg sew some

my phis 1, mg 2 of 3 of while mg fair while Heimes a day 2 to exper day in him hi

dic (a21/2 mg 3 times 7 15 mg a mortuga) Meaday.or 5 mg (2) sper day. 1970) per day. 1970) per day. 1970 are a day a sharing a said a said

Calculate each dose on the basis of 0.06 mg of h of body weight; give by deep EM, injection, ally obtained with one dose? 10 12 15 10 12

tal Dosage: For children 2 to 12 years, starting Ling 2 or 3 times daily. Do not give more than day. Then increase dosage according to papaugaid toolly rocks and cooling 22765, total daily dosage usually does not exceed

Also ship in the same of the s Por ages under 12, calculate each dose on the mg of Compazine (prochlorperazine) per lb of give by deep I.M. injection: Control is usually lose. After control is achieved, switch the al form of the drug at the same dosage level when anostudic negativities, depries avent

DVERSE REACTIONS In the Control of the extrapyramism producing some of the dystonic reactions designed to the control of the extrapyramism producing some of the dystonic reactions designed to the control of the contr

more than a second of the control of the punt of the p sable manifestations include convulsions. and cardiac arrhythmias, fever and auto isuch as hypotension, dry mouth and ileus. It is important to determine other medica patient since multiple-dose therapy is dosage situations. Treatment is essentially d supportive: Early gastric lavage is helpful der observation and maintain an open air-vement of the extrapyramidal mechanism nea dysphagia and respiratory difficulty in severe Do not attempt to induce emesis because tion of the head or neck may develop that uit in aspiration of vomitus. Extrapyramidal may be treated with anti-parkinsonism drugs, Care should be taken to avoid increasing

depression. gamine or caffeine with sodium benzoate is recin the state of th that may cause convulsions (e.g., picrotoxin or resol) should be avoided, who have interesting the

ion occurs, the standard measures for managing should be initiated. If it is desirable to adasoconstrictor, Levophed and Neo-Synephrine able. Other pressor agents, including epineph-Sirecommended because phenothiazine derivaeverge the usual eleveting action of these agents wering of blood pressure mailton we ince indicates that phonothiazines are not di-

Its profite imminities a position of the con. Spansule, capsules—Since much of the paule medication is coated for gradual release, patient are reversing the effects of the ingested are reversing the patient should be continued for the patient sho astening evacuation of pellets that have not

ed medication to code in the interest schools. 10 mg, in bottles of 100; in Single Unit Pack ntended for institutional use only) and proposition DC 9007-3366-20 to their medie elect aug. (a: NDC 0007-8366-21 (it averally employed) IDG 0007-3367-20 had selected mulio 100 NDC 0007-3367-21 'T 'S ... the level of t 10 and 15 mg, in bottles of 60. DG 0007-3344-15 mines prescib rolument will DC 0007-0346-15 True of and ministrate large & mms/mL); in boxes of 25 and 10 mL (5 mg/mL); mended that renal function be idenitored of 1b) in boxes of 25; NOC 0007-3352-16 to good 

-21/2 mg (for young children), fing (for older 2/4 mg, in boxes of 12-NDC 0007-336ii(43) by many in 5 mg, in boxes of 12-NDC 0007-336ii(43) by many in 52 mg, in boxes of 12-NDC 0007-3362 0007-3562 0007-3562 0007-3562 0007-3562 0007-3562 0007-3562 0007-3562 0007-3562 5 mg/5 ml., 4 ft. og: NDC 0007-3363-44 2364 ybys free the Store Compazine (prochlorperatine) (vilals bellow) 3010 (86°E). Do not freeza Other dosaga forms can be stored between 15 and 30°C (59 and 86 F) Protectifrom light bits norgpinephrine bitartrate: Sanofi Winthrop Pharmaleutis is cals 173. April 1418; drawl northernord interestoring to the mylephrine hydrochloride, tSanofi Winthrop Pharmae Other one by sometively in an edition of interfeelistics of desilies and total or cardiovs, alved when interfeel total or cardiovs, alved to the control of metrizamide, Sanofi Winthrop Pharmaceuticals collection 1 diphenhydramine hydrochloride Parke Davisiyis a wins Veterans Administration/Military/PHS Vials 2 mL: 25 a 6505-01-230-3931; 10:mL; 1/s; 6505-00-684-9630; Supposi ries, 2½ mg, 12's, 6505-00-138-5213, 5 mg, 12's, 6505-01 153-2894; 25 mg, 12's, 6505-00-133-5214; Syrup 5 mg/5 mL; 4 fl oz, 6505-01-039-6849; Tablets, 5 mg, 100's, 6605-00-761-5640; 5- mg, 100's (SUP); 6505-00-118-2563; 10 mg, 100's, 6505-01-854-1042; 10 mg; 100's (SUP); 6505-00-092-8189::: and enstageed increase in heart failtre medicarion 081.SO 10 Shown in Product Identification Guide, page 339 of tal ios-up of p montles, by 48% (p=0.008). Many land pay in too the payage was affecting off it.

n, see amples a real action of the first his first his first in fi prand of caryedilo Tablets attend brand West Mark Tablets Functional Measures, Nano of the multiscolor studies and DESCRIPTION of bus viginity a su moitenfinger AHYN Carvedilol is a nonselective B-adrenergic blocking agent with a blocking schrifty It is (1) I (Carbazol 4 years) I ([2-(o-methoxyphenoxy)ethylaminol 2 propanor It is a race-mic mixture with the following attricture: 12 feet when a none wash self-enduring the best and the end of the survival of Subsective Measures where of the as measures single single.

Denydra Loui cult to define. Rypartensjon

carvedilol politica carvedilol politica carvedilol carv crospovidone, hydroxypropyl methylcellulose, lactose mas nesium stearate, polyethylene glycol, polyeorbate 80 polyeone, sucrose and titanium dioxide. 21 4 3 4 4 5 7 4 5 5 7 1 5 7 1 edilol is a white to off-white powder with a molecular

weight of 406.5 and a molecular formula of CoHr. N.O. It is freely soluble in dimethyleulforide, soluble in methylene chloride and methanol; sparingly soluble in 95% ethanol and isopropanol; slightly soluble in ethyl ether, and practcally insoluble in water, gastric fluid (simulated, TS, pH 1.1) and intestinal fluid (simulated, TS without pancreatin off

CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY SU GYLA EMOYTA STOVI Coreg is a racemic mixture in which nonselective bearency receptor hlocking activity is present in the Scheman and or adventure is extractly in present in the Scheman and or adventure in the Scheman and or adventure is extractly in present in both Help and Scheman are equal potency. Coreg has no intensite sympathomized activity or relative of the order of the order of the scheman and scheman are sympathomized activity or relative of the order Pharmacokinetics ministration, with absolute bioavailability of approximately 25% to 35% due to a significant degree of first pass motabolism. Following oral administration, the apparent mean ousm. rollowing oral administration, the apparent mean terminal elimination half-life of carvedilol generally ranges from 7 to 10 hours. Plasma concentrations achieved structure from 1 to 10 hours. Plasma concentrations achieved structure from 1 to 10 hours. Plasma concentrations achieved structure from 1 to 10 hours. Plasma concentrations with roll the rate of absorption is slowed, as evidenced try a delay in the time to reach peak plasma tayets, with no significant difference in extent of plasma tayets, with no significant difference in extent of plasma tayets. nfficant difference in extent of bioavailability. Taking Coresion with food should minimize the reft of Getherities by profits atom the Aller of the atom the public strategy at the same at the same atom the Aller of the atom the same atom the same atom the same atom to the same teers, carvedilol accommed for only about 3% of the brighter disectivity in plasmers measured by area folders the chrys (AUCh resistant 2% of the best was remained in the chrystelland of the times faryedibbin metabolized primarily ty compation ting pridation and glucuronidation. The ordation amendal lites are offurther ametabolized by conjugations of plants, roundation and substim. The metabolice of practical district

y via the bile into the fects Demethylation or at the phenol ring produce three active Compared To Entering Leaving Program of School leaving Compared To Entering Leaving Program of Control leaving of both R(4) and S(<)-carved(fill) in human type microsmes with over 200 and very state of the company of the c Carveduol is division to the effects of general holymorphism with poor metabolizers of debrisonum (a marker for cyto-chrome P450 2D6) exhibiting 2 to 3 ton higher plants con-centrations of R(+)-carvedilol computed to extensive me centrations of K(+)-carrequot compared to tatentive metabolizers. In contrast, plasma levels of S(PSE) Fellolling increased only about 20% 6025 4/12 [State metabolizers in the cating this enantioner is included in the cating this enantioner. cytichironde Peter 2De than Relicaryoning. The pharmico-tribetics of carrendo to the hope of the uniform the poor metabolizers of S-member your charms deficient in cyto-chronic Peter (2015), shorts to one anominate and relievable carvedilor is more than 1876 bother to plasmic proteins, pro-marily with anomalists that have the thirding of inde-pendent of concentration over the therapouter range! Carreditot is a hand brophilic compound with a steady state volume of distribution of approximately 115 L. indicate its substantial distribution of approximately 115 L. indicate its substantial distribution into letterwascular insured. Planting and the control of the control tions of car would and its mantioners in calculations ally over the 6,25 to 50 mg does range in pullents with congestive blant finduced to healthy stopects; congestive blant finduced by the state of the congestive flash while patients had microscot mean AUC and the state the congestive flash while patients had microscot mean AUC and the state of the congestive flash while with the congestive flash with th Pharmscokinetic Drug Interestions: Since alvedid midergees substantial oxidative metabolism. Nev metabolism and pharmscokinetics of caryedid may be affected by induction or inhibition of cytophenic Peto engine morred for my in a pharmscokinetic state. injumpin, in a pharmacolined study conducted in a healthy male subjects. Hampin (900 for daily for 12 days) decreased the AUC and Call freshwellor by stour 70%. Constitutive in a pharmacoline in study conducted in 10 healthy male subjects, constitution for within charge in steady state AUC of carvedilet by 30% within charge in a part to most support suppor

Cmar Clyburger in 12 healthy subjects, combined administration of carvedillo! (25 mg once daily) and a single dose of giy-burge did 66f result in a binistrally relevant pharmacks. netic interaction for either compound (II) one midshipes Hydrochlorothiczide: A single oral dose of carvedilol 25 mg did not alter the pharmacokinetics of a single oral dose of hydrochlorothiazide 25 mg in 12 patients with hyperten-sion! Likewise, hydrochlorothiazide had no effect on the inarmacokinetics of caryedilol. It stom bytevol at muse in Digazin. Following concomitant administration of caryedilol Digam. Following concomitant administration of carvedulor (25 mg only daily) and digorito (0.25 mg only daily) and digorito (0.25 mg only daily) for '14 days, senator of the days, senator of the constraint of t amministration of carvedints as ing one duty and dissented for in the first days did not result in the significant differences in their pharmacokinetics compared with administration of the drugs along units of the drugs a Western Carrediol (1225 lig twite daily) dut not have an effect in the steady affect profit which their states and did not alter the parametric profit with their states and the not alter the parametric profit of R(41 and S(4) wartarin and low ing concentrate administration with warfarin in 9 downing concentration of 11 de aleve will obtain to another an early substitute and accomplished and accomplished of mexicological states and substitute and accomplished of mexicological states and accomplished of the states and accomplished on the states a

Information on the SmithKline Beecham Pharmaceuticals products appearing here at based on the tabeling in effect on young the products appearing here at based on the tabeling in effect on Sulphysiques are in the product Lynny Burgs Stained from the Medical Department. Smith Kline Bescham Rharmsteuttschus One Franklin Plaze, Philadelphia Pla 191979 educts a voidrin. 30A ne bon ext Elderly Plasma levels of carvedilol average about 50%

higher in the elderly compared to young subjects. Hepatic Impairment: Compared to healthy subjects, patients with cirrhotic liver disease exhibit significantly higher concentrations of carvedilol (approximately 4- to I-fold) following single-dose therapy (see WARNINGS, He-

patic Injury).

Renal Insufficiency: Although carvedilol is metabolized primarily by the liver, plasma concentrations of carvedilol have been reported to be increased in patients with renal impairment. Based on mean AUC data, approximately 40% to 50% higher plasma concentrations of carvedilol were observed in hypertensive patients with moderate to severe renal impairment compared to a control group of hypertensive patients with normal renal function. However, the ranges of AUC values were similar for both groups. Changes in mean peak plasma levels were less pronounced, approximately 12% to 26% higher in patients with impaired renal function.

Consistent with its high degree of plasma protein-binding, carvedilol does not appear to be cleared significantly by he-

modialysis.

Pharmacodynamics and Clinical Trials

Congestive Heart Failure Pharmacodynamics

The basis for the beneficial effects of Coreg (carvedilol) in

congestive heart failure is not established.

Two placebo-controlled studies compared the acute hemodynamic effects of Coreg to baseline measurements in 59 and 49 patients with NYHA class II-IV heart failure receiving diuretics, ACE inhibitors, and digitalis. There were significant reductions in systemic blood pressure, pulmonary artery pressure, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure, and heart rate. Initial effects on cardiac output, stroke volume index, and systemic vascular resistance were small and

These studies measured hemodynamic effects again at 12 to 14 weeks. Coreg significantly reduced systemic blood pressure, pulmonary artery pressure, right atrial pressure, systemic vascular resistance, and heart rate, while stroke vol-

ume index was increased. Among 839 patients with NYHA class II-III heart failure treated for 26 to 52 weeks in 4 U.S. placebo-controlled trials, average left ventricular ejection fraction (EF) measured by radionuclide ventriculography increased by 8 EF units (%) in Coreg patients and by 2 EF units in placebo patients (between-group difference of 6 EF units). This treatment effect was nominally statistically significant in each trial.

Hypertension Pharmacodynamics

The mechanism by which β-blockade produces an antihy-pertensive effect has not been established.

.. .

47.00

β-adrenoreceptor blocking activity has been demonstrated in animal and human studies showing that carvedilol (1) reduces cardiac output in normal subjects; (2) reduces exercise- and/or isoproterenol-induced tachycardia and (3) reduces reflex orthostatic tachycardia. Significant  $\beta$ -adrenoreceptor blocking effect is usually seen within 1 hour of drug administration.

α<sub>1</sub>-adrenoreceptor blocking activity has been demonstrated in human and animal studies, showing that carvedilol (1) attenuates the pressor effects of phenylephrine; (2) causes vasodilation and (3) reduces peripheral vascular resistance. These effects contribute to the reduction of blood pressure and usually are seen within 30 minutes of drug administra-

Due to the a1-receptor blocking activity of carvedilol, blood pressure is lowered more in the standing than in the supine position, and symptoms of postural hypotension (1.8%), including rare instances of syncope, can occur. Following oral administration, when postural hypotension has occurred, it has been transient and is uncommon when Coreg (carvedilol) is administered with food at the recommended starting dose and titration increments are closely followed (see DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION).

In hypertensive patients with normal renal function, thera-peutic doses of Coreg decreased renal vascular resistance with no change in glomerular filtration rate or renal plasma flow. Changes in excretion of sodium, potassium, urlc acid and phosphorus in hypertensive patients with normal renal hincion were similar after Coreg and placebo.

Coreg has little effect on plasma catecholamines, plasma aldosterone or electrolyte levels, but it does significantly reduce plasma renin activity when given for at least 4 weeks. It also increases levels of atrial natriuretic peptide.

CLINICAL TRIALS

Congestive Heart Failure Four U.S. multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled studies enrolled 1094 patients (696 randomized to carvedilol) with NYHA class II-III heart failure and ejection fraction <0.35. The vast majority were on digitalis, diuretics, and an ACE inhibitor at study entry. Patients were assigned to the studies based upon exercise ability. An Austra-

rolled 415 patients (half randomized to carvedilol) with less severe heart failure. All protocols excluded patients expected to undergo cardiac surgery during the 6 to 12 months of double-blind follow-up. All randomized patients had tolerated a 2-week course on carvedilol 6.25 mg b.i.d.

In each study, there was a primary end-point, either progression of heart failure (one U.S. study) or exercise tolerance (2 U.S. studies meeting enrollment goals and the Australia-New Zealand study). There were many secondary end-points specified in these studies, including NYHA classification, patient and physician global assessments, and cardiovascular hospitalization. Death was not a specified end-point in any study, but it was analyzed in all studies. Other analyses not prospectively planned included the sum of deaths and total or cardiovascular hospitalizations. In situations where the primary end-points of a trial do not show a significant benefit of treatment, assignment of significance values to the other results is complex, and such values need to be interpreted cautiously.

The results of the U.S. and Australia-New Zealand trials

were as follows:

Slowing Progression of Heart Failure: One U.S. multicenter study (366 subjects) had as its primary end-point the sum of cardiovascular mortality, cardiovascular hospitalization, and sustained increase in heart failure medications. Heart failure progression was reduced, during an average follow-up of 7 months, by 48% (p=0.008).

In the Australia-New Zealand study, death and total hospitalizations were reduced by about 25% over 18 to 24 months. In the three largest U.S. studies, death and total hospitalizations were reduced by 19%, 39% and 49%, nominally statistically significant in the last two studies. The Australia-New Zealand results were statistically borderline. Functional Measures: None of the multicenter studies had NYHA classification as a primary end-point, but all such studies had it as a secondary end-point. There was at least a trend toward improvement in NYHA class in all studies. Exercise tolerance was the primary end-point in 3 studies; in none was a statistically significant effect found.

Subjective Measures: Quality of life, as measured with a standard questionnaire (a primary end-point in one study), was unaffected by carvedilol. However, patients' and investigators' global assessments showed significant improvement in most studies.

Mortality: Mortality was not a planned end-point in any study. Overall, in the U.S. trials, mortality was reduced, nominally significantly so in 2 studies, but the actual effect size and statistical significance of this observation are difficult to define.

Hypertension

Coreg was studied in two placebo-controlled trials that utilized twice-daily dosing, at total daily doses of 12.5 to 50 mg. In these and other studies, the starting dose did not exceed 12.5 mg. At 50 mg per day, Coreg reduced sitting trough (12hour) blood pressure by about 9/5.5 mm Hg; at 25 mg/day the effect was about 7.5/3.5 mm Hg. Comparisons of trough to peak blood pressure showed a trough to peak ratio for blood pressure response of about 65%. Heart rate fell by about 7.5 beats per minute at 50 mg/day. In general, as is true for other \$-blockers, responses were smaller in black than non-black patients. There were no age- or genderrelated differences in response.

The peak antihypertensive effect occurred 1 to 2 hours after a dose. The dose-related blood pressure response was accompanied by a dose-related increase in adverse effects (see

ADVERSE REACTIONS).

INDICATIONS AND USAGE Congestive Heart Failure

Coreg is indicated for the treatment of mild or moderate (NYHA class II or III) heart failure of ischemic or cardiomyopathic origin, in conjunction with digitalis, diuretics, and ACE inhibitor, to reduce the progression of disease as evidenced by cardiovascular death, cardiovascular hospitalization, or the need to adjust other heart failure medica-

Coreg may be used in patients unable to tolerate an ACE inhibitor. Coreg may be used in patients who are or are not receiving digitalis, hydralazine or nitrate therapy.

Hypertension Coreg (carvedilol) is also indicated for the management of essential hypertension. It can be used alone or tion with other antihypertensive agents, especially this ridetype diuretics (see PRECAUTIONS, Drug Interactions).

CONTRAINDICATIONS

Coreg is contraindicated in patients with NYHA class IV decompensated cardiac failure requiring intravenous inotropic therapy, bronchial asthma (two cases of death from status asthmaticus have been reported in patients receiving single doses of Coreg) or related bronchospastic conditions, second- or third-degree AV block, sick sinus syndrome (unless a permanent pacemaker is in place), cardiogenic shock or severe bradycardia.

Use of Coreg in patients with clinically manifest hepatic imnairment is not recommended.

ARNINGS

ARNINGS
Hepatic injury: Mild hepatocellular injury
challenge, has occurred rarely with Corg
challenge, has occurred rarely with Corg liver function abnormalities reported as ences was 1.1% (13 of 1,142 patients) in particular of 1,142 patients) in particular of 1,142 patients in the 1,142 patients in Coreg and 0.9% (4 of 462 patients) in those cebo. One patient receiving carvedilol in trolled trial withdrew for abnormal hepatical In controlled studies of congestive heart dence of liver function abnormalities report experiences was 5.0% (38 of 765 patients) in Coreg and 4.6% (20 of 437 patients) in placebo. Three patients receiving carvedila ( patients receiving placebo (0.5%) in placebo withdrew for abnormal hepatic function withdrew for abnormal hepatic function.

Hepatic injury has been reversible and has short- and/or long-term therapy with mining tomatology. No deaths due to liver functi have been reported.

At the first symptom/sign of liver dysfunction dark urine, persistent anorexia, jaundice, mer rant tenderness or unexplained "flu-like" syn ratory testing should be performed. If the par-ratory evidence of liver injury or jaundice, be stopped and not restarted.

Peripheral Vascular Disease: β-blockers can-aggravate symptoms of arterial insufficient with peripheral vascular disease. Caution cised in such individuals. t view

Anesthesia and Major Surgery: If Cored to continued perioperatively, particular care al when anesthetic agents which depress myoc such as ether, cyclopropane and trichloroeth See OVERDOSAGE for information on trea cardia and hypertension.

Diabetes and Hypoglycemia: β-blockers may a the manifestations of hypoglycemia, particular dia. Nonselective β-blockers may potentiate in the hypoglycemia and delay recovery of serum hypoglycemia and delay recovery of serving.
Patients subject to spontaneous hypoglycemia Patients subject to spontaneous ayouth patients receiving insulin or oral hypographological should be cautioned about these possibilities should be used with caution. In congestive tients, there is a risk of worsening hypergreen. CAUTIONS).

Thyrotoxicosis: β-adrenergic blockade may signs of hyperthyroidism, such as tachycard drawal of β-blockade may be followed by all the state of the sta drawal of  $\beta$ -blockage may be the symptoms of hyperthyroidism of may roid storm.

PRECAUTIONS

General

Since Coreg (carvedilol) has \$-blocking active not be discontinued abruptly, particularly in ... ischemic heart disease. Instead, it should over 1 to 2 weeks.

0.5

In clinical trials, Coreg caused bradycardia hypertensive patients and 9% of congestive tients. If pulse rate drops below 55 beats

Hypotension and postural hypotension occursyncope in 3.4% of syncope in 3.4% of congestive heart failure ing carvedilol compared to 3.6% and 2.55. tients, respectively. The risk for these even titration period and was a cause for discontinu during the first 30 days of dosing, corr apy in 0.7% of carvedilol patients, compared

ceno panents.
Postural hypotension occurred in 1.8% and of hypertensive patients, primarily following or at the time of dose increase and was tinuation of therapy in 1% of patients. To decrease the likelihood of syncope or sign trees. sion, treatment should be initiated with congestive heart failure patients and 6.22 pertensive patients. Dosage should his slowly according to recommendations in the ADMINISTRATION section, and the drug-with fined Dosage in the drugwith food. During initiation of theraps, be cautioned to avoid situations such as ous tasks, where injury could result short. Rarely, use of carvedilol in patients will failure has resulted in deterioration of tients at risk appear to be those with a classification of the second of was stopped. In patients with these risks mended that renal function be monitor tion of carvedilol and the drug discontinued duced if worsening of renal function occur